arXiv:math/0409476v1 [math.DG] 24 Sep 2004

# THE AUSLANDER CONJECTURE FOR NIL-AFFINE CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC GROUPS

DIETRICH BURDE, KAREL DEKIMPE, AND SANDRA DESCHAMPS

Abstract. We study subgroups $\Gamma$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(N) = N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ acting properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $N$. Here $N$ is a simply connected, connected real nilpotent Lie group of finite dimension $n$. This situation is a natural generalization of the so-called affine crystallographic groups. We prove that for all dimensions $1 \leq n \leq 5$ the generalized Auslander conjecture holds, i.e., that such subgroups are virtually polycyclic.

## 1. Introduction

A classical crystallographic group is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Such groups act properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The structure of such groups is well known by the three Bieberbach theorems ([8], [16]). In fact, all these groups are finitely generated virtually abelian.

As a generalization of this concept, one also studies affine crystallographic groups. These are subgroups of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acting crystallographically (by which we will always mean properly discontinuously and cocompactly) on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The structure of these affine crystallographic groups is not at all as well known as in the case of the classical crystallographic groups and two main questions have played a major role in the study of these groups:

- In 1977 Milnor ([15]) asked whether any torsionfree polycyclic-by-finite group could be realized as an affine crystallographic group. And conversely
- In 1964 Auslander conjectured ([4]) that any affine crystallographic group is virtually solvable (and hence polycyclic-by-finite).

It follows that a positive answer to both questions implies a complete understanding of the affine crystallographic groups. However, it has been shown that not all torsionfree polycyclic-by-finite groups do occur as an affine crystallographic group, thus answering negatively Milnor's question ([5], [6], [7]).

On the other hand, Auslander's conjecture is still open and is only known to be true in dimensions $n \leq 6$ ([2], see also [1] for a survey on the Auslander conjecture).

The negative answer to Milnor's question, suggests to consider more general classes of crystallographic groups (e.g. polynomial crystallographic groups as in [12]). In this paper we are considering crystallographic subgroups in $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ for a simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie group $N$. Here $N$ is diffeomorphic to some $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathrm{Aff}(N) = N \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(N)$

---

*Date*: February 1, 2008.

acts on $N$ via

$$(n,\alpha)\cdot m = n\alpha(m) \quad \forall\ (n,\alpha)\in \mathrm{Aff}(N),\ m\in N. \tag{1}$$

A crystallographic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ is a subgroup acting crystallographically on $N$. We will call such a group a NIL-affine crystallographic group.
The notation $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ makes sense, since this group is really the group of connection preserving diffeomorphisms of $N$ for any left invariant affine connection on $N$ ([13]). In this sense, studying the NIL-affine crystallographic groups is really a very natural generalization of the affine crystallographic groups. Moreover, the analogue of Milnor's question does hold in this case:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([10]) *Let $\Gamma$ be a torsionfree polycyclic-by-finite group. Then there exists a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N$ and an embedding $\rho:\Gamma\to\mathrm{Aff}(N)$, such that $\rho(\Gamma)$ is a crystallographic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$.

Conversely, just as in the case of affine crystallographic groups, it is now very natural to ask whether every NIL-affine crystallographic group is virtually solvable:

**The generalized Auslander conjecture 1.2.** *Let $N$ be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group and let $\Gamma\subseteq\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ be a group acting crystallographically on $N$. Then $\Gamma$ is virtually polycyclic.*

We expect the answer to be positive since the question is closely related to the original Auslander problem. Note that a positive answer to this generalized Auslander problem would imply a complete algebraic description of the class of NIL-affine crystallographic groups, or stated otherwise, would provide a complete geometric description of the class of polycyclic-by-finite groups.
We will prove this conjecture for all $N$ with $\dim N\le 5$. Moreover, in the next section we will show how several concepts of classical affine geometry on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be translated to the nilpotent case, giving more indications of a close relation between the generalized Auslander conjecture and the original one and thus providing even more evidence for a positive answer.

## 2. SUBGROUPS OF $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ NOT ACTING PROPERLY DISCONTINUOUSLY

In this section we generalize the criterium of [3] for the failure of proper discontinuity for certain subgroups in $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the case of subgroups in $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$. This means that we follow on one hand very closely the construction given in [3], but on the other hand really have to develop some basics for affine geometry in a nilpotent Lie group $N$. Here we encounter new problems, because $N$ will not be commutative in general.

In establishing this criterium, we will exploit the structure of the *linear* parts of the affine motions involved. Let us make this more precise in what follows.
Given $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(N)$, let $\alpha_*$ denote the induced automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $N$. We have the identification $\mathbb{R}^n\equiv\mathfrak{n}\xrightarrow{\exp} N$, $\exp\circ\alpha_*=\alpha\circ\exp$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(N)\cong\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$. Denote by

$$\ell\colon N\rtimes\mathrm{Aut}(N)\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}):\quad (n,\alpha)\mapsto\alpha_*$$

the projection of $g = (n, \alpha)$ to its linear part $\ell(g) = \alpha_*$. Then we have for any $g \in \mathrm{Aff}(N)$ the vector space decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$ into a direct sum of $\ell(g)$-invariant subspaces

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}^-(g) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^0(g) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g) \tag{2}$$

where the spaces $\mathfrak{n}^-(g)$, $\mathfrak{n}^0(g)$, $\mathfrak{n}^+(g)$ are determined by the following conditions. Their sum is $\mathfrak{n}$ and all eigenvalues $\lambda$ of the restriction $\ell(g)_{|\mathfrak{n}^-(g)}$ satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$, all eigenvalues of $\ell(g)_{|\mathfrak{n}^0(g)}$ satisfy $|\lambda| = 1$ and all eigenvalues of $\ell(g)_{|\mathfrak{n}^+(g)}$ satisfy $|\lambda| > 1$. The decomposition is not only a vector space decomposition but also a decomposition as Lie algebras. In fact, we will need that the subspaces $\mathfrak{n}^-(g)$, $\mathfrak{n}^0(g)$, $\mathfrak{n}^+(g)$ and the two direct sums

$$\mathfrak{d}^-(g) = \mathfrak{n}^-(g) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^0(g) \tag{3}$$

$$\mathfrak{d}^+(g) = \mathfrak{n}^+(g) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^0(g) \tag{4}$$

are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{n}$. However, we will prove this in Lemma 2.1. So we can also fix notations for the corresponding Lie subgroups of $N$:

$$\begin{aligned} N^-(g) &= \exp(\mathfrak{n}^-(g)) \\ N^0(g) &= \exp(\mathfrak{n}^0(g)) \\ N^+(g) &= \exp(\mathfrak{n}^+(g)) \\ D^-(g) &= \exp(\mathfrak{d}^-(g)) \\ D^+(g) &= \exp(\mathfrak{d}^+(g)). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $n$-dimensional real Lie algebra and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then there exists a basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\alpha$ has the following block form with respect to this basis*

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} A & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & C \end{array}\right)$$

*where $A \in M_k(\mathbb{R})$ such that all eigenvalues $\lambda$ satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$, $B \in M_l(\mathbb{R})$ such that all eigenvalues $\lambda$ satisfy $|\lambda| = 1$, and $C \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that all eigenvalues $\lambda$ satisfy $|\lambda| > 1$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha) &= \mathrm{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha) &= \mathrm{span}\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^+(\alpha) &= \mathrm{span}\{v_{k+l+1}, \ldots, v_{k+l+m}\} \end{aligned}$$

*with $k+l+m = n$ then the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{g}^+(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{g}^+(\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.*
*Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}^+(\alpha)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha)$) is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}^+(\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$).*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows easily by using the real canonical Jordan form for $\alpha$. Now let $\alpha = \alpha_s \alpha_u$ be the multiplicative Jordan decomposition of $\alpha$. Here $\alpha_s$ is a semisimple automorphism, $\alpha_u$ is a unipotent automorphism and $\alpha_s \alpha_u = \alpha_u \alpha_s$. We have $\alpha_s, \alpha_u \in$

$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a linear algebraic group. In fact, $\alpha_s$ and $\alpha_u$ are represented by block matrices as above,

$$\alpha_s = \begin{pmatrix} A_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_u = \begin{pmatrix} A_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_u \end{pmatrix}$$

where the subscript $s$ means that we take the semisimple part of the matrix, and the subscript $u$ stands for the unipotent part. Note that $\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}(\alpha_s)$ for $\varepsilon = -, 0, +$. We may assume that the matrices appearing in the representation of $\alpha_s$ have diagonal form. Otherwise we may pass to the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ where we can diagonalize. Now a direct calculation finishes the proof. Let us first check that the space $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0(\alpha)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. All other cases are analogous. $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned by all eigenvectors corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda$ with $|\lambda| \le 1$. So, suppose that $\{v_1, \dots v_{k+l}\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha_s(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$ for all $i$ ($|\lambda_i| \le 1$). For any $v_i, v_j \in \mathfrak{h}$, we compute that

$$\alpha_s([v_i, v_j]) = [\alpha_s v_i, \alpha_s v_j] = [\lambda_i v_i, \lambda_j v_j] = \lambda_i \lambda_j [v_i, v_j].$$

Now, clearly $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \le 1$, from which it follows that $[v_i, v_j] \in \mathfrak{h}$, proving that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.
Checking that $\mathfrak{g}^-(\alpha)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$ can be done similarly, which finishes the proof. □

To be able to generalize the ideas of [3], we must be able to talk about *affine subspaces* of $N$. Therefore, we define a line in the Lie group $N$ as a left coset of a 1-parameter subgroup. In other words, $L = m \cdot \exp(tA)$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ with $A \neq 0$. We say that this line is parallel to the Lie subalgebra $\mathrm{span}\{A\}$. More generally, we have the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** For a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ we define an affine subspace of $N$ to be any left coset of the form $m \cdot \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ ($m \in N$). We say that this affine subspace is *parallel* to $\mathfrak{h}$.

Define the following two subsets of $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{g \in \mathrm{Aff}(N) \mid \dim \mathfrak{n}^0(g) = 1,\ \ell(g)_{|\mathfrak{n}^0(g)} = \mathrm{id}\} \\ \Omega_0 &= \{g \in \Omega \mid g \cdot n \neq n \quad \forall n \in N\}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements of $\Omega$ are called pseudohyperbolic, and $\Omega_0$ consists of fixed-point-free elements of $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ inside $\Omega$. We will study the action of a group generated by pseudohyperbolic elements. The following lemma is needed to be able to describe the behaviour of the action of a pseudohyperbolic element $g$ and its iterates $g^n$.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ satisfies the following condition: if $1$ is an eigenvalue of $\alpha_* \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$ then its geometric and algebraic multiplicity coincide. Let $\mathfrak{e} = Eig(\alpha_*, 1)$ be the eigenspace to the eigenvalue $1$, and $E = \exp(\mathfrak{e})$. For fixed $n \in N$ define a map $\varphi \colon N \to N$ by*

$$m \mapsto m^{-1} n \alpha(m).$$

*Then there exists an $m \in N$ such that $\varphi(m) \in E$. This $m$ is uniquely determined modulo $E$.*

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on the nilpotency class $c$ of $N$. If $N$ is abelian, i.e., for c=1 and $N = \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\varphi(m) = -m + n + \alpha(m) = (\alpha - \mathrm{id}) \cdot m + n. \tag{5}$$

Let $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the first $k$ vectors form a basis of $E$. Then $\alpha$ is represented by the matrix

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & * \\ \hline 0 & A \end{array}\right)$$

where $A \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{R})$ has no eigenvalues equal to 1. Hence the block matrices on the diagonal of $\alpha - \mathrm{id}$ are 0 and $A - I$, the latter being invertible. Hence the matrix equation $(A - I)x + b = 0$ for any $b \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ has a unique solution. This means that there is an $m \in N$ such that the last $n-k$ components of the vector $\varphi(m) = (\alpha - \mathrm{id}) \cdot m + n$ are zero, i.e., such that $\varphi(m) \in E$. Moreover the last $n - k$ components of $m$ are uniquely determined. Hence if $m' \in N$ is another element satisfying $\varphi(m') \in E$, then $m = m' + e$ with some $e \in E$.

Now suppose that $c > 1$ and that the lemma is true for lower nilpotency classes. Let $Z$ be the center of $N$ and define $\overline{\varphi}\colon N/Z \to N/Z$ by

$$\overline{m} \mapsto \overline{m^{-1} n \alpha(m)} = (\overline{m})^{-1} \overline{n} \overline{\alpha(m)}$$

where $\overline{\alpha}\colon N/Z \to N/Z$ given by $\overline{m} \mapsto \overline{\alpha(m)}$ is an automorphism of $N/Z$. (Here we use the bar to denote the natural projection $N \to N/Z$). Note that $\overline{\varphi}$ is well-defined and that $\overline{\alpha}$ satisfies the assumption of the lemma on the eigenvalue 1. Hence we can apply the induction hypothesis, and there is an $\overline{m} \in N/Z$ such that $(\overline{m})^{-1} \overline{n} \overline{\alpha(m)} \in EZ/Z \subseteq N/Z$. Thus (for any given lift $m$ of $\overline{m}$) we may write

$$m^{-1} n \alpha(m) = e_1 z_1$$

with some $e_1 \in E$ and $z_1 \in Z$. It follows that for any $z \in Z$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(mz) &= z^{-1} m^{-1} \cdot n \cdot \alpha(m) \alpha(z) \\ &= m^{-1} n \alpha(m) \cdot z^{-1} \alpha(z) \\ &= e_1 z_1 \cdot z^{-1} \alpha(z) \\ &= e_1 \cdot z^{-1} z_1 \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_{|Z} \in \operatorname{Aut}(Z)$ satisfies the eigenvalue 1 condition of the lemma we can again apply the induction hypothesis, with $N = Z$ and $n = z_1$. Hence we find a $z_0 \in Z$ such that $z_0^{-1} z_1 \alpha(z_0) \in E \cap Z$. It follows that

$$\varphi(m z_0) = e_1 \cdot z_0^{-1} z_1 \alpha(z_0) \in E.$$

The uniqueness up to $E$ also follows by induction on the nilpotency class of $N$. □

Now, we apply this lemma to obtain some information about the action of a pseudohyperbolic element.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For any $g \in \Omega$ there is exactly one $g$-invariant line $C_g$ parallel to $\mathfrak{n}^0(g)$.*

*Proof.* A line being parallel to $\mathfrak{n}^0(g)$ is of the form $m \cdot \exp(tA)$ with some $m \in N$ and an $A$ satisfying $\mathfrak{n}^0(g) = \operatorname{span}\{A\}$. It is $g$-invariant if and only if there is a function $s\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(m \cdot \exp(tA)) = m \cdot \exp(s(t) \cdot A). \tag{6}$$

Writing $g = (n, \alpha)$ and applying (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(m \cdot \exp(tA)) &= n \cdot \alpha(m) \cdot \alpha(\exp(tA)) \\ &= n \cdot \alpha(m) \cdot \exp(tA). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, equating (6) to (7) leads to $m^{-1}n \cdot \alpha(m) = \exp((s(t)-t)A)$, which is an element of the 1-dimensional Lie group $N^0(g) = \exp(\mathfrak{n}^0(g))$. We conclude that there exists a $g$-invariant line parallel to $\mathfrak{n}^0(g)$ if and only if there is an $m \in N$ such that $m^{-1}n \cdot \alpha(m) \in N^0(g)$ (and then $s(t) - t$ has to be constant). But this follows by Lemma 2.3. We have $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{n}^0(g)$ and $E = N^0(g)$. Moreover $\alpha$ satisfies the eigenvalue 1 condition. Hence there is an $m \in N$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m^{-1}n \cdot \alpha(m) = \exp(cA) \in N^0(g)$. The line $m \cdot \exp(tA)$ is $g$-invariant and we have

$$g(m \cdot \exp(tA)) = m \cdot \exp((c + t)A) = m \cdot \exp(tA)\exp(cA). \tag{8}$$

Since $m$ was unique up to $N^0(g)$, another choice of $m$ yields the same line. Indeed, if $m' = mn_1$ with some $n_1 = \exp(c_1A) \in N^0(g)$ then

$$m' \exp(tA) = mn_1 \exp(tA) = m \exp((c_1 + t)A).$$

Hence this line is unique. $\square$

The proposition above does not only give us a $g$-invariant line $C_g$, for any $g \in \Omega$, but equation (8) shows that the action on any point $x$ of this line is by means of a constant translation. We define the *translational part* $\tau(g)$ of $g$ by $gx = x\tau(g)$ (where $x$ is any point $x \in C_g$). It holds that $\tau(g) \neq 1$ if and only if $g \in \Omega_0$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{g^m} &= C_g \\ \tau(g^m) &= \tau(g)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T(g) = \log(\tau(g)) \in \mathfrak{n}^0(g)$. If $g \in \Omega_0$, then $T(g) \neq 0$, and hence every $x \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g)$ has a unique decomposition

$$x = \lambda(x)T(g) + a(x)$$

where $\lambda(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a(x) \in \mathfrak{n}^+(g)$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g)$ *positive with respect to* $g \in \Omega_0$, if $\lambda(x) > 0$. We will write $x \succ_g 0$.

**Definition 2.5.** Two elements $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega_0$ will be called *transversal*, if

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2) = \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2).$$

It is easy to see that $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega_0$ are transversal if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2) \oplus (\mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)) &= \mathfrak{n} \\ \text{and } \dim(\mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_{g_1} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2) \mid x \succ_{g_1} 0\} \\ S_{g_2} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2) \mid x \succ_{g_2} 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.6.** For two transversal elements $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega_0$ we say that they form a *positive pair* if $S_{g_1} = S_{g_2}$.

For $g \in \Omega$ note that $C_g = m \cdot N^0(g)$.
For any $x \in N$ let

$$B_g^+(x) = x \cdot N^+(g).$$

We will also use

$$\begin{aligned} E_g^+ &= m \cdot D^+(g) = C_g \cdot D^+(g) \\ E_g^- &= m \cdot D^-(g) = C_g \cdot D^-(g). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{n}^+(g)$ is a Lie ideal in $\mathfrak{d}^+(g)$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence $N^+(g)$ is a normal subgroup in $D^+(g)$. Since the intersection of $N^+(g)$ and $N^0(g)$ is trivial, every element $x \in D^+(g)$ can be written as

$$x = n^0 n^+$$

with unique elements $n^0 \in N^0(g)$ and $n^+ \in N^+(g)$. Let $x \in E_g^+$. Then it is easy to see that $B_g^+(x) \cap C_g$ consists of exactly 1 point: if $x = m \cdot n^0 n^+$ and $C_g = m \cdot N^0(g)$ then

$$m \cdot n^0 = x \cdot (n^+)^{-1}$$

is this point. Thus we can define a projection

$$P_g \colon E_g^+ \to C_g$$

by the equality $B_g^+(x) \cap C_g = \{P_g(x)\}$ for $x \in E_g^+$. The subgroup $N^+(g)$ is $\alpha$-invariant, where $g = (n, \alpha)$. Therefore, if $x = m \cdot n^0 n^+ \in E_g^+$ then

$$P_g(gx) = m \cdot n^0 \tau(g) = P_g(x)\tau(g). \tag{9}$$

We are now ready to prove the obstruction criterium to proper discontinuity.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Assume that $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega_0$ form a positive pair. Then there exists a compact set $K \subset N$ and two sequences $\{s_i\}, \{t_i\}$ of positive integers such that*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} s_i = \lim_{i\to\infty} t_i = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad (g_1^{-s_i} g_2^{t_i} K) \cap K \neq \emptyset.$$

*In particular, the subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ *generated by $g_1$ and $g_2$ does not act properly discontinuously on $N$.*

*Proof.* The last part follows from the group theoretical argument given in [3], Corollary 2.3. It is independent of our generalization.

Choose a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{n}$. It defines a left-invariant metric $d$ on $N$.
Take a pseudohyperbolic element $g \in \Omega_0$ and use the notations introduced above. If $x = m \cdot n^0 \cdot n^+ = P_g(x) \cdot n^+ \in E_g^+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(g^{-k}x, P_g(g^{-k}x)) &= d(g^{-k}x, P_g(x)\tau(g)^{-k}) \\ &= d(g^{-k}(P_g(x) \cdot n^+), P_g(x)\tau(g)^{-k}) \\ &= d(g^{-k}(P_g(x))\alpha^{-k}(n^+), P_g(x)\tau(g)^{-k}) \\ &= d(P_g(x)\tau(g)^{-k}\alpha^{-k}(n^+), P_g(x)\tau(g)^{-k}) \\ &= d(\alpha^{-k}(n^+), 1) \end{aligned}$$

since the metric is left-invariant and $g^{-k}(ab) = g^{-k}(a)\alpha^{-k}(b)$ for all $a, b \in N$. Using the fact that $d(\exp(A), 1) \leq \|A\|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha^{-k}(n^+), 1) &\leq \|\log(\alpha^{-k}(n^+))\| \\ &= \|\alpha_*^{-k}(\log(n^+))\| \\ &\leq ce^{-bk}\|\log(n^+)\| \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $b, c > 0$ only depending on $\alpha_*$. (Use that $\alpha^{-1}_{*|\mathfrak{n}^+(g)}$ has only eigenvalues $\lambda$ of modulus $|\lambda| < 1$). Thus we have

$$d(g^{-k}x, P_g(g^{-k}x)) \leq ce^{-bk}\|\log(n^+)\| \tag{10}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in E_g^+$.

Fix a point $m(g)$ on $C_g$ and write $C_g = m(g)N^0(g)$. Let

$$R(g) = \{m(g)\tau(g)^t \mid 0 \leq t < 1\}.$$

For every $x \in E_g^+$ there exists a unique integer $k(x, g)$ such that $P_g(g^{k(x,g)}x) \in R(g)$.

Write $E_{g_1}^+ = m(g_1)D^+(g_1)$ and $E_{g_2}^+ = m(g_2)D^+(g_2)$. We claim that $E_{g_1}^+ \cap E_{g_2}^+$ is not empty. To show this, we use the following lemma which can be easily proved by induction on the nilpotency class of $\mathfrak{n}$.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{n}$ *is a nilpotent Lie algebra which is the sum of two subalgebras:* $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$. *Let* $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$, $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ *and* $B = \exp(\mathfrak{b})$. *Then the map* $\varphi\colon A \times B \to N$, $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ *is surjective.*

Since $g_1, g_2$ form a positive pair we have $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) + \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)$. Hence by Lemma 2.8 we may write $m(g_1)^{-1}m(g_2) = m_1m_2$ with $m_1 \in D^+(g_1)$ and $m_2 \in D^+(g_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{g_2}^+ = m(g_2)D^+(g_2) &= m(g_1)m(g_1)^{-1}m(g_2)D^+(g_2) \\ &= m(g_1)m_1m_2D^+(g_2) = m(g_1)m_1D^+(g_2) \end{aligned}$$

so that $m(g_1)m_1 \in E_{g_2}^+$. On the other hand, $m(g_1)m_1 \in E_{g_1}^+$. Hence we have found an element

$$x_0 = m(g_1)m_1 \in E_{g_1}^+ \cap E_{g_2}^+.$$

Now choose a $V \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)$ such that $V \succ_{g_1} 0$ and $V \succ_{g_2} 0$. Let $v = \exp(V)$. Fix an element $x_0 \in E^+_{g_1} \cap E^+_{g_2}$ as above. Then we have for all $i \geq 0$

$$x_i := x_0 \cdot v^i \in E^+_{g_1} \cap E^+_{g_2}.$$

Let $s_i := -k(x_i, g_1)$ and $t_i := -k(x_i, g_2)$. We will make the computations for $g_1$ and the numbers $s_i$. The argument for $g_2$ and the numbers $t_i$ is the same.

Let $V_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^0(g_1)$ be so that $V = V_1 + W$, where $W \in \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1)$. Since $V$ is positive with respect to $g_1$ we have $V_1 = \lambda_1 T(g_1)$ where $\lambda_1 > 0$. Let $v_1 = \exp(V_1)$. Note that $v = v_1 \cdot w$ for some $w \in N^+(g_1)$: we can write

$$\log(v_1 \cdot w) = \log(v_1) + \log(w) + W_1$$

with $W_1 \in \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1)$, since $\mathfrak{n}^+(g_1)$ is a Lie ideal in $\mathfrak{n}^0(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1)$ and $V = V_1 + W$. It follows that $v^i = v_1^i \cdot w_2$ for some $w_2 \in N^+(g_1)$.

We want to compute $P_{g_1}(g_1^k x_i)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$P_{g_1}(g_1^k x_i) = P_{g_1}(g_1^k(x_0 \cdot v^i)) = P_{g_1}(g_1^k(x_0) \cdot \alpha_1^k(v^i))$$

(Of course $\alpha_1$ denotes the $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$-part of $g_1$). There exists a $w_3 \in N^+(g_1)$ such that

$$\alpha_1^k(v^i) = \alpha_1^k((v_1)^i)\alpha_1^k(w_2) = v_1^i \cdot w_3 = \tau(g_1)^{\lambda_1 i} w_3$$

since $V_1 = \lambda_1 T(g_1)$. Writing $x_0 = m(g_1) \cdot n^0 \cdot n^+$ there exists a $w_4 \in N^+(g_1)$ such that

$$g_1^k(x_0) = g_1^k(m(g_1) \cdot n^0)\alpha_1^k(n^+) = m(g_1) n^0 \cdot \tau(g_1)^k \cdot w_4$$

where $n^0 = \tau(g_1)^{r_0} \in N^0(g_1)$. So we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{g_1}(g_1^k x_i) &= P_{g_1}(g_1^k(x_0) \cdot \alpha_1^k(v^i)) \\ &= P_{g_1}(m(g_1) n^0 \tau(g_1)^k \tau(g_1)^{\lambda_1 i} \cdot \tau(g_1)^{-\lambda_1 i} w_4 \tau(g_1)^{\lambda_1 i} w_3) \\ &= m(g_1)\tau(g_1)^{r_0 + k + \lambda_1 i}. \end{aligned}$$

This lies in $R(g_1) = \{m(g_1)\tau(g_1)^t \mid 0 \leq t < 1\}$ if $0 \leq r_0 + \lambda_1 i + k < 1$. In this case $k$ is the unique integer $k(x_i, g_1) = -s_i$ with this property. Hence we have $0 \leq r_0 + \lambda_1 i - s_i < 1$ for all $i \geq 1$ and

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{i}{s_i} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{i}{r_0 + \lambda_1 i} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} > 0. \tag{11}$$

Write $x_i = P_{g_1}(x_i) \cdot n_i^+ \in E^+_{g_1}$ with $n_i^+ \in N^+(g_1)$. We have $x_i = x_0 \cdot v^i = m(g_1) \cdot n^0 \cdot n^+ \cdot v^i$. Let $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ be a basis of the nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^+(g_1)$. Using Mal'cev's theorem we can find polynomials $p_1(i), \ldots, p_n(i)$ such that

$$n^+ \cdot v^i = \tau_{g_1}^{\lambda_1 i} \exp(p_1(i) W_1 + \cdots + p_n(i) W_n).$$

Hence $n_i^+ = \exp(p_1(i) W_1 + \cdots + p_n(i) W_n)$. So there exists a polynomial $P(i)$ such that

$$\|\log(n_i^+)\| \leq P(i).$$

Using (10), (11) and $b, \lambda_1 > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{i\to\infty} d(g_1^{-s_i}x_i, P_{g_1}(g_1^{-s_i}x_i)) \leq \lim_{i\to\infty} ce^{-bs_i}\|\log(n_i^+)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{i\to\infty} ce^{-b\lambda_1 i}P(i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} d(g_1^{-s_i}x_i, R(g_1)) = 0.$$

Hence there exists an upper bound $M_1$ for all these distances. Thus, the compact set

$$K_1 = \{x \in N \mid d(x, R(g_1)) \leq M_1\}$$

contains all $g_1^{-s_i}x_i$.

We can obtain in the same way a bound $M_2$ for the distances to $R(g_2)$, and define the compact set

$$K = \{x \in N \mid d(x, R(g_1)) \leq M_1\} \cup \{x \in N \mid d(x, R(g_2)) \leq M_2\}.$$

Clearly $g_1^{-s_i}x_i \in K$, $g_2^{-t_i}x_i \in K$ and $g_1^{-s_i}x_i = (g_1^{-s_i}g_2^{t_i})g_2^{-t_i}x_i$, so that

$$g_1^{-s_i}g_2^{t_i}K \cap K \neq \emptyset$$

for all $s_i$ and $t_i$.

□

## 3. Subgroups of Aff($N$) for $N$ two-step nilpotent

In this short section we show that the generalized Auslander conjecture reduces to the ordinary one if $N$ is two-step nilpotent. Indeed, if $N$ is two-step nilpotent, a faithful affine representation

$$\lambda\colon \operatorname{Aff}(N) = N \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(N) \to \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

was constructed in Theorem 4.1 in [11]. This representation satisfies the following:

- Let $i\colon N \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aff}(N)$ be the embedding given by $n \mapsto (n, id)$. Then, the composition $\lambda \circ i\colon N \to \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defines a simply transitive action of $N$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $n \in N$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it is given by
$$n \cdot x = \lambda(n, id)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
- $\lambda$ maps the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$ of $\operatorname{Aff}(N)$ into the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows that for every $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ and for the zero vector $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that
$$\lambda(1, \alpha)(0) = 0.$$

The following proposition yields the desired reduction of the generalized Auslander conjecture to the ordinary one:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $N$ be a simply connected, connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group. Assume that $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Aff}(N)$ acts crystallographically on $N$. Then $\Gamma$ also admits an affine crystallographic action on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

*Proof.* Let $\lambda : \mathrm{Aff}(N) \to \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the faithful representation mentioned above. As $\lambda$ lets $N$ act simply transitively on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the evaluation map

$$e_v : N \to \mathbb{R}^n : n \mapsto n \cdot 0$$

is a diffeomorphism.

Now, $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ acts on $N$ (via $(n,\alpha)\cdot m = n\alpha(m)$ as before) and on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (using $\lambda(n,\alpha)$). We can check that $e_v$ is an $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$–equivariant map, i.e. the following diagram is commutative for any $(n,\alpha) \in \mathrm{Aff}(N)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N \arrow[r, "e_v"] \arrow[d, "(n{,}\alpha)\cdot"'] & \mathbb{R}^n \arrow[d, "(n{,}\alpha)\cdot"] \\
N \arrow[r, "e_v"'] & \mathbb{R}^n
\end{tikzcd}$$

Indeed, let $m \in N$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(n,\alpha)\cdot e_v(m) &= \lambda(n,\alpha)(m\cdot 0)\\
&= \lambda(n,\alpha)(\lambda(m,id)(0))\\
&= \lambda(n\alpha(m),\alpha)(0)\\
&= \lambda(n\alpha(m),id)(\lambda(1,\alpha)(0))\\
&= \lambda(n\alpha(m),id)(0)\\
&= e_v(n\alpha(m))\\
&= e_v((n,\alpha)\cdot m)
\end{aligned}$$

Using this commutative diagram it is now easy to see that a subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ acts crystallographically on $N$, if and only if it also acts crystallographically (and affinely) on $\mathbb{R}^n$. ☐

## 4. The conjecture in low dimensions

In this section we prove that the generalized Auslander conjecture is true in dimensions $n \le 5$. We have to deal with three cases. In the first case, the automorphism group of $N$ is already virtually solvable. Then $\mathrm{Aff}(N)$ is clearly virtually solvable, and hence all its subgroups $\Gamma$ are virtually solvable. In the second case, $N$ is 2-step nilpotent. Then the claim follows from Proposition 3.1. If neither the first case nor the second case applies to $N$, the claim is more difficult to prove. We have to make an appeal to the results of section 2. However, in dimension $\le 5$ there is only one Lie group $N$ for which this problem arises.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let $N$ be a simply connected and connected nilpotent Lie group of dimension $n$ with $1 \le n \le 5$. Let $\Gamma \le \mathrm{Aff}(N)$ act crystallographically on $N$. Then $\Gamma$ is virtually polycyclic.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that any such $\Gamma$ is virtually solvable. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebra of $N$. All nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension $n \le 3$ are nilpotent of class $\le 2$. If $\dim \mathfrak{n} = 4$ then $\mathfrak{n}$ is either of class $\le 2$ or isomorphic to the generic filiform Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_4$: $[x_1,x_2] = x_3; [x_1,x_3] = x_4$. As the derivation algebra of $\mathfrak{n}_4$ consists of lower-triangular matrices with respect to this basis, it is solvable. We can conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}(N_4)$, where $N_4 = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_4)$, is virtually solvable, which finishes the argument in dimension 4.

In dimension 5 we use the list of all nilpotent Lie algebras as given in [14]. It consists of 6 indecomposable Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{5,1}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{5,6}$ and 3 decomposables. The algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{5,1}, \mathfrak{g}_{5,2}$ and two of the decomposable ones are nilpotent of class $\leq 2$. The derivation algebra of the other decomposable one, namely $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{n}_4 \oplus \mathbb{R})$, and the derivation algebras $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_{5,3}), \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_{5,5})$ and $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_{5,6})$ are clearly solvable. Hence it only remains to consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_{5,4}: \quad [x_1, x_2] = x_3;\ [x_1, x_3] = x_4;\ [x_2, x_3] = x_5.$$

This is the free 3-step nilpotent 2-generated Lie algebra of dimension 5. Let $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$ and assume that $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Aff}(N)$ acts crystallographically. Assume furthermore that $\Gamma$ is not virtually solvable. Then also the image of $\Gamma$ inside $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$ under the map

$$\ell \colon N \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(N) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$$

is not virtually solvable. We will show that this leads to a contradiction.
A simple calculation shows that, with respect to the basis $x_1, \dots, x_5$, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$ consists of matrices of the form

$$A_{\alpha_i,\beta_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \beta_4 & * & \alpha_1\gamma & \beta_1\gamma \\ \alpha_5 & \beta_5 & * & \alpha_2\gamma & \beta_2\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\gamma = \alpha_1\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2$ is the determinant of the $2 \times 2$-matrix in the left upper corner (the entries denoted by $*$'s are also determined by the first two columns, but they do not play a role in what follows). Consider the homomorphism $\rho \colon \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$A_{\alpha_i,\beta_i} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\rho(\ell(\Gamma))$ is not virtually solvable, because $\ell(\Gamma)$ is not. Hence, by Tits' alternative $\rho(\ell(\Gamma))$ contains a non-abelian free subgroup $F_1$. But then, its derived subgroup $F_2 = [F_1, F_1]$ is also a non-abelian free subgroup of $\rho(\ell(\Gamma))$, satisfying $F_2 \subseteq [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})] = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is well known that for any free non-abelian subgroup $F_2 \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ there exists an element $g \in F_2$ such that $g$ has no eigenvalues of modulus 1 (see [9]). Thus there exists a $g_1 \in \Gamma$ such that $\rho(\ell(g_1)) \in F_2$ and $\rho(\ell(g_1))$ has no eigenvalue of modulus 1. We denote the eigenvalues of $\rho(\ell(g_1))$ by $\lambda$ and $1/\lambda$, with $|\lambda| > 1$. It is easy to see that there is a basis $(A, B, C, D, E)$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ with brackets $[A, B] = C$, $[A, C] = D$, $[B, C] = E$ such that

$$\ell(g_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the notation of the decompositions (2) and (3) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}^0(g_1) &= \langle C\rangle, \quad \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1) = \langle A, D\rangle \\ \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) &= \langle A, C, D\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $T(g_1) = \alpha C$ for some non-zero $\alpha$. By rescaling our basis vectors, we may assume that $T(g_1) = C$.

Besides our fixed element $g_1 \in \Gamma$, we now choose an element $h \in \Gamma$ such that $\rho(\ell(h)) \in F_2$ and $\rho(\ell(h))$ does not commute with $\rho(\ell(g_1))$ (this is possible since $F_2$ is free and non-abelian). We then consider $g_2 = hg_1h^{-1}$. It follows that $\langle \rho(\ell(g_1)), \rho(\ell(g_2))\rangle$ and hence $\langle \ell(g_1), \ell(g_2)\rangle$ are free groups. Note that the automorphism $\ell(g_2)$ has exactly the same eigenvalues as $\ell(g_1)$. Then there exists a nonzero element of the form $\alpha A + \beta B$ such that

$$\ell(g_2)(\alpha A + \beta B) = \lambda(\alpha A + \beta B) \bmod \langle C, D, E\rangle \text{ and } \ell(g_2)(C) = C \bmod \langle D, E\rangle.$$

Here we have $\beta \neq 0$, otherwise $\langle \ell(g_1), \ell(g_2)\rangle$ would be a solvable group. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(g_2)(\alpha D + \beta E) &= \ell(g_2)([\alpha A + \beta B, C]) \\ &= [\ell(g_2)(\alpha A + \beta B), \ell(g_2)(C)] \\ &= [\lambda(\alpha A + \beta B), C] \\ &= \lambda([\alpha A + \beta B, C]) \\ &= \lambda(\alpha D + \beta E). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\dim \mathfrak{n}^0(g_2) = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2) = 2$, so there exist scalars $\alpha, \beta \neq 0, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \mu, \nu$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}^0(g_2) &= \langle C + \mu D + \nu E\rangle \\ \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2) &= \langle \alpha D + \beta E, \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C + \delta D + \varepsilon E\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^0(g_2) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2) \\ &= \mathfrak{n}^+(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^0(g_1) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g_1$ and $g_2$ are transversal elements. (Note that $g_1$ and $g_2$ act fixed-point-free because $\langle g_1, g_2\rangle$ is a free group and hence torsionfree.) Hence $\mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)$ is a 1-dimensional vector space. We want to show that we can find a positive pair, so that our desired contradiction follows prom proposition 2.7. Let $V \in \mathfrak{d}^+(g_1) \cap \mathfrak{d}^+(g_2)$ be a non-zero vector. This implies that there are scalars $k, l, m$ and $r, s, t$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V &= kA + lC + mD \\ &= r(\alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C + \delta D + \varepsilon E) + s(C + \mu D + \gamma E) + t(\alpha D + \beta E). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta \neq 0$ we have $r = k = 0$ and $s = l$. For $l = 0$ we would obtain $V = 0$, hence we have $l \neq 0$.

As $T(g_1) = C$, the semiline $S_{g_1}$ consists of those $V = lC + mD$ with $l > 0$. On the other hand, $T(g_2) = \xi(C + \mu D + \gamma E)$ for some non-zero $\xi$. We distinguish two possibilities:

- If $\xi > 0$, then it is obvious that $S_{g_2} = S_{g_1}$ and hence $g_1$ and $g_2$ form a positive pair.
- However, if $\xi$ is negative, we can start all over again and consider the pair $g_1$ and $g_2^{-1}$. As $T(g_2^{-1}) = -T(g_2)$, we obtain that in this case $S_{g_2^{-1}} = S_{g_1}$ and hence $g_1$ and $g_2^{-1}$ form a postive pair.

□

## References

[1] H. Abels, *Properly discontinuous groups of affine transformations: a survey*, Geom. Dedicata **87** (2001), 309–333.

[2] H. Abels, G. A. Margulis, G. A. Soifer, *Properly Discontinuous Groups of Affine Transformations with Orthogonal Linear Part*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **324** (3) (1997), 253–258.

[3] H. Abels, G. A. Margulis, G. A. Soifer, *On the Zariski closure of the linear part of a properly discontinuous group of affine transformations*, J. Differential Geom. **60** (2002), 315–344.

[4] L. Auslander, *The structure of complete locally affine manifolds*, Topology **3** (1964), 131–139.

[5] Y. Benoist, *Une nilvariété non affine*, J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995), 21–52.

[6] D. Burde, F. Grunewald, *Modules for certain Lie algebras of maximal class*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **99** (1995), 239–254.

[7] D. Burde, *Affine structures on nilmanifolds*, Int. J. of Math. **7** (1996), 599–616.

[8] L.S. Charlap, *Bieberbach Groups and Flat Manifolds*, Universitext, Springer–Verlag New York, 1986.

[9] J.-P. Conze, Y. Guivarc'h, *Remarques sur la distalité dans les espaces vectoriels*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. **A** **278** (1974), 1083–1086.

[10] K. Dekimpe, *Any virtually polycyclic group admits a NIL-affine crystallographic action*, Topology **42** (2003), 821–832.

[11] K. Dekimpe, *The construction of affine structures on virtually nilpotent groups*, Manuscripta math. **87** (1995), 71–88.

[12] K. Dekimpe, P. Igodt, *Polycyclic-by-finite groups admit a bounded-degree polynomial structure*. Invent. Math. **129** (1) (1997), 121–140.

[13] F. Kamber, P. Tondeur, *Flat manifolds with parallel torsion*, J. Differential Geom. 2 (1968) 358–389.

[14] L. Magnin, *Adjoint and Trivial Cohomology Tables for Indecomposable Nilpotent Lie Algebras of Dimension* $\leq 7$ *over* $\mathbb{C}$. E-book (1995), 1–906.
`http://www.u-bourgogne.fr/monge/l.magnin/`

[15] J. Milnor, *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds*, Adv. Math. **25** (1977), 178-187.

[16] J.A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*. Publish or Perish, Berkeley, CA, 1977.

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Campus Kortrijk, 8500 Kortrijk, Belgium
*E-mail address*: `dietrich.burde@univie.ac.at`
*E-mail address*: `karel.dekimpe@kulak.ac.be, sandra.deschamps@kulak.ac.be`